

A Conformal Basis for Scattering Amplitudes

SABRINA GONZALEZ PASTERSKI

Outline

- Why are we interested in superrotations?
- Which scattering basis do they prefer?
- What is the 'conformal basis'?
- How can we transform amplitudes we know to this basis?
- Can we expect 'standard' CFT correlation functions?
- Does this analysis teach us something more about IR phyiscs?

Motivation

What can IR physics teach us about gravitational scattering?

More Symmetries \Rightarrow More Constraints

The asymptotic symmetry group of asymptotically flat spacetimes is much larger than Poincare

Motivation

What can IR physics teach us about gravitational scattering?

More Symmetries \Rightarrow More Constraints

The asymptotic symmetry group of asymptotically flat spacetimes is much larger than Poincare

Does this enhanced symmetry algebra hint at a celestial sphere holographic dual?

What can IR physics teach us about gravitational scattering?

There exists a generic pattern of connections between asymptotic symmetries, soft theorems, and memory effects...



What can IR physics teach us about gravitational scattering?

There exists a generic pattern of connections between asymptotic symmetries, soft theorems, and memory effects...

Such that by understanding simpler examples we can identify missing components of new iterations...



What can IR physics teach us about gravitational scattering?

There exists a generic pattern of connections between asymptotic symmetries, soft theorems, and memory effects...

Such that by understanding simpler examples we can identify missing components of new iterations...



What can IR physics teach us about gravitational scattering?

There exists a generic pattern of connections between asymptotic symmetries, soft theorems, and memory effects...

Such that by understanding simpler examples we can identify missing components of new iterations... Soft Theorems

What can IR physics teach us about gravitational scattering?

There exists a generic pattern of connections between asymptotic symmetries, soft theorems, and memory effects...

In this manner a brand new iteration was completed corresponding to *superrotations*. This iteration is related to a generalization of Lorentz transformations and has motivated looking at *S*-matrix elements in a new basis with definite SL(2,C) weights







In gauge theories there are constraints that need to be satisfied for the initial data on a Cauchy slice







Some more details:

•Radial Expansion:

$$\mathcal{A}_{z}(r,u,z,\bar{z}) = A_{z}(u,z,\bar{z}) + \sum_{\substack{n=1\\n=1}}^{\infty} \frac{A_{z}^{(n)}(u,z,\bar{z})}{r^{n}} \qquad F_{ur} = A_{u}$$
$$F_{z\bar{z}} = \partial_{z}A_{\bar{z}} - \partial_{\bar{z}}A_{z}$$
$$\mathcal{A}_{u}(r,u,z,\bar{z}) = \frac{1}{r}A_{u}(u,z,\bar{z}) + \sum_{\substack{n=1\\n=1}}^{\infty} \frac{A_{u}^{(n)}(u,z,\bar{z})}{r^{n+1}} \qquad F_{uz} = \partial_{u}A_{z}$$

•ASG that preserves this expansion:

$$\delta_{\epsilon}A_z(u, z, \bar{z}) = \partial_z \epsilon(z, \bar{z})$$

•Mode Expansion:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu}(x) = e \sum_{\alpha=\pm} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2\omega_q} \left[\epsilon_{\mu}^{\alpha^*}(\vec{q}) a_{\alpha}(\vec{q}) e^{iq \cdot x} + \epsilon_{\mu}^{\alpha}(\vec{q}) a_{\alpha}(\vec{q})^{\dagger} e^{-iq \cdot x} \right]$$

•Constraint Equation:

$$\partial_u A_u = \partial_u (D^z A_z + D^{\bar{z}} A_{\bar{z}}) + e^2 j_u$$

[arXiv:1407.3789]

Coordinate Conventions:

$$ds^{2} = -du^{2} - 2dudr + 2r^{2}\gamma_{z\bar{z}}dzd\bar{z}$$
$$z = e^{i\phi}\tan\frac{\theta}{2} \quad \gamma_{z\bar{z}} = \frac{2}{(1+z\bar{z})^{2}}$$

Two key points:

•Saddle point at large r picks out a gauge boson momentum pointing in the same direction as where an observer near null infinity would detect it. As a result, one ends up with a mode expansion where the angular integral localizes, and (u, ω) remain as Fourier conjugates.

$$e^{iq \cdot x} = e^{-i\omega u - i\omega r(1 - \hat{q} \cdot \hat{x})} \implies A_z(u, z, \bar{z}) = -\frac{i}{8\pi^2} \frac{\sqrt{2}e}{1 + z\bar{z}} \int_0^\infty d\omega \left[a_+(\omega \hat{x})e^{-i\omega u} - a_-(\omega \hat{x})^{\dagger}e^{i\omega u}\right]$$
• $\int du$ picks out $\omega \to 0$. As such we can relate the soft factors to the constraint equations:
Fourier transform of a pole $\frac{1}{\omega}$ is a step function
$$S^{(0)-} = \sum_k eQ_k \frac{p_k \cdot \epsilon^-}{p_k \cdot q}$$
 $\langle z_{n+1}, z_{n+2}, \dots | a_-(q)S|z_1, z_2, \dots \rangle = S^{(0)-} \langle z_{n+1}, z_{n+2}, \dots |S|z_1, z_2, \dots \rangle + \mathcal{O}(1)$

[arXiv:1407.3789, arXiv:1505.00716]

1

Integrate the constraint equation along u

$$E_{r} = \frac{Q}{4\pi r^{2}} \frac{1}{\gamma^{2}(1-\vec{\beta}\cdot\hat{n})^{2}} \qquad \Delta A_{u} = 2D^{z}\Delta A_{z} + e^{2}\int du j_{u}$$
$$-\frac{e}{4\pi} \lim_{\omega \to 0} \omega [D^{z}\hat{\epsilon}_{z}^{*+}S_{p}^{(0)+} + D^{\bar{z}}\hat{\epsilon}_{\bar{z}}^{*-}S_{p}^{(0)-}] = -e^{2}\frac{Q}{4\pi} \frac{1}{\gamma^{2}(1-\vec{\beta}\cdot\hat{n})^{2}}$$

The soft factor indicates that typical scattering processes will produce a nonzero *u* integrated electric field.

Some Conventions: $p^{\mu} = m\gamma(1, \vec{\beta}) \quad S_p^{(0)\pm} = eQ \frac{p \cdot \epsilon^{\pm}}{p \cdot q}$ $\Delta A_z = -\frac{e}{4\pi} \hat{\epsilon}_z^{*+} \omega S^{(0)+}$

[arXiv:1505.00716]

•Upshot: The residue of the Weinberg pole indicates a nonzero value for certain low-energy radiation observables aka **"memory effects"**

•Since setting these modes to zero would trivialize the allowed scattering events, we get with this class of boundary conditions a larger class of gauge transformations that preserve the radial order of the falloffs while shifting the boundary values aka **"large gauge transformations"**





SGP @ NORTHEASTERN





 $ds^2 = -dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$

 Want to consider non-trivial gravitational backgrounds that are ``close" to being flat

BMS 1960's

Approach flat spacetime far away from sources



Interested in set of diffeomorphisms that preserve class of asymptotically flat metrics, characterized by radial fall-off near null infinity

♦ ASG =

allowed gauge symmetries

trivial gauge symmetries





We can demonstrate a semiclassical Ward identity for superrotations using the subleading soft graviton theorem [<u>arXiv:1406.3312</u>].

$$< out|Q^{+}[Y]S - SQ^{-}[Y]|in >= 0$$

$$8\pi GQ^{+}[Y] = \int du \int d^{2}z \sqrt{\gamma} \partial_{u} [-uY^{A}D_{A}m_{B} + Y^{A}N_{A} + ...]$$

$$\partial_{u}m_{B} = \frac{1}{4} \partial_{u} \left[D_{z}^{2}C^{zz} + D_{\bar{z}}^{2}C^{\bar{z}\bar{z}}\right] - T_{uu}$$

$$\partial_{u}N_{z} = \frac{1}{4} \partial_{z} \left[D_{z}^{2}C^{zz} - D_{\bar{z}}^{2}C^{\bar{z}\bar{z}}\right] + \partial_{z}m_{B} - T_{uz}$$

We can demonstrate a semiclassical Ward identity for superrotations using the subleading soft graviton theorem [<u>arXiv:1406.3312</u>].

$$Q^{+}[Y] = Q^{+}_{S}[Y] + Q^{+}_{H}[Y]$$

$$Q^{+}_{S}[Y] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{I}^{+}} du d^{2} z D_{z}^{3} Y^{z} u \partial_{u} C^{z}_{\overline{z}} \qquad Q^{+}_{H}[Y] = \lim_{\Sigma \to \mathcal{I}^{+}} \int_{\Sigma} d\Sigma \ \xi^{\mu} n^{\nu}_{\Sigma} T^{M}_{\mu\nu}$$

We can demonstrate a semiclassical Ward identity for superrotations using the subleading soft graviton theorem [<u>arXiv:1406.3312</u>].



Looking again at the superrotation vector field near null infinity, we notice we have two copies of the Witt algebra:

$$\xi^+|_{\mathcal{J}^+} = Y^{+z}\partial_z + \frac{u}{2}D_zY^{+z}\partial_u + c.c.$$

♦ Moreover, for a particular choice of $Y^z \sim \frac{1}{z-w}$ we find that the soft part of the charge takes the form of a putative 2D stress tensor [arXiv:1609.00282].

$$T_{zz} \equiv \frac{i}{8\pi G} \int d^2 w \frac{1}{z - w} D_w^2 D^{\bar{w}} \int duu \partial_u C_{\bar{w}\bar{w}}$$

$$\langle T_{zz} \mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_n \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n \left[\frac{h_k}{(z - z_k)^2} + \frac{\Gamma_{z_k z_k}^{z_k}}{z - z_k} h_k + \frac{1}{z - z_k} \left(\partial_{z_k} - |s_k| \Omega_{z_k} \right) \right] \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_n \rangle \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Weight Conventions:} \\ h = \frac{1}{2} (s + 1 + iE_R) & \bar{h} = \frac{1}{2} (-s + 1 + iE_R) \\ \Delta = h + \bar{h} & s = h - \bar{h} \end{array}$$

Looking again at the superrotation vector field near null infinity, we notice we have two copies of the Witt algebra:

$$\xi^+|_{\mathcal{J}^+} = Y^{+z}\partial_z + \frac{u}{2}D_zY^{+z}\partial_u + c.c.$$

♦ Moreover, for a particular choice of $Y^z \sim \frac{1}{z-w}$ we find that the soft part of the charge takes the form of a putative 2D stress tensor [arXiv:1609.00282].

$$T_{zz} \equiv \frac{i}{8\pi G} \int d^2 w \frac{1}{z-w} D_w^2 D^{\bar{w}} \int du u \partial_u C_{\bar{w}\bar{w}}$$

$$\langle T_{zz}\mathcal{O}_1\cdots\mathcal{O}_n\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n \left[\frac{h_k}{(z-z_k)^2} + \frac{\Gamma_{z_k z_k}^{z_k}}{z-z_k}h_k + \frac{1}{z-z_k}\left(\partial_{z_k} - |s_k|\Omega_{z_k}\right)\right]\langle\mathcal{O}_1\cdots\mathcal{O}_n\rangle$$

Weight Conventions: $h = \frac{1}{2}(s+1+iE_R) \quad \bar{h} = \frac{1}{2}(-s+1+iE_R)$

$$\Delta = h + \bar{h} \quad s = h - \bar{h}$$

We need Rindler energy eigenstates!

Using that the Lorentz group SO(1,d+1) in $\mathbb{R}^{1,d+1}$ acts as the conformal group on \mathbb{R}^d define the massive scalar conformal primary wavefunction to:

• satisfy the (d+2)-dimensional massive Klein-Gordon equation of mass *m*:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{\nu}}\frac{\partial}{\partial X_{\nu}} - m^2\right)\phi_{\Delta}(X^{\mu};\vec{w}) = 0$$

 transform covariantly as a scalar conformal primary operator in d dimensions under an SO(1,d+1) transformation:

$$\phi_{\Delta}\left(\Lambda^{\mu}_{\ \nu}X^{\nu};\vec{w}'(\vec{w})\right) = \left|\frac{\partial\vec{w}'}{\partial\vec{w}}\right|^{-\Delta/d} \phi_{\Delta}(X^{\mu};\vec{w})$$

[arXiv:1705.01027]

SGP @ NORTHEASTERN



SGP @ NORTHEASTERN

The desired properties are met by the convolution:

$$\phi_{\Delta}^{\pm}(X^{\mu};\vec{w}) = \int_{H_{d+1}} [d\hat{p}] G_{\Delta}(\hat{p};\vec{w}) \exp\left[\pm im\hat{p}\cdot X\right]$$

Interpretation as bulk-to-boundary propagation in momentum space

♦ Have plane wave ⇒ highest-weight, what about reverse?

Р

 \Rightarrow Imply we can go in the opposite direction **highest-weight** \Rightarrow **plane wave**

If we define the shadow for a scalar as

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\Delta}(\vec{w}) \equiv \frac{\Gamma(\Delta)}{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}\Gamma(\Delta - \frac{d}{2})} \int d^{d}\vec{w}' \frac{1}{|\vec{w} - \vec{w}'|^{2(d-\Delta)}} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(\vec{w}')$$

The action on our scalar wavefunctions shows linear dependence between weights $\Delta\,$ and $d-\Delta\,$

• By forming the combination $\omega = \frac{m}{2y}$ we can further use the boundary behavior of G_{Δ} to explore the massless analog:

$$G_{\Delta}(y,\vec{z};\vec{w}) \xrightarrow[m \to 0]{} \pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta - \frac{d}{2})}{\Gamma(\Delta)} y^{d-\Delta} \delta^{(d)}(\vec{z} - \vec{w}) + \frac{y^{\Delta}}{|\vec{z} - \vec{w}|^{2\Delta}} + \cdots$$

The first term results in a Mellin transform of the energy, in which the reference direction is the same as the momentum, and satisfies the desired properties of a massless conformal primary.

$$\varphi_{\Delta}^{\pm}(X^{\mu};\vec{w}) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega \,\omega^{\Delta-1} \,e^{\pm i\omega q \cdot X - \epsilon\omega} = \frac{(\mp i)^{\Delta} \Gamma(\Delta)}{(-q(\vec{w}) \cdot X \mp i\epsilon)^{\Delta}}$$



Photon

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{\sigma}}\frac{\partial}{\partial X_{\sigma}}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu} - \frac{\partial}{\partial X^{\nu}}\frac{\partial}{\partial X_{\mu}}\right)A^{\Delta\pm}_{\mu a}(X^{\rho};\vec{w}) = 0 \qquad \qquad A^{\Delta\pm}_{\mu a}\left(\Lambda^{\rho}_{\ \nu}X^{\nu};\vec{w}\,'(\vec{w})\right) = \frac{\partial w^{b}}{\partial w'^{a}}\left|\frac{\partial \vec{w}'}{\partial \vec{w}}\right|^{-(\Delta-1)/d}\Lambda^{\ \sigma}_{\mu}A^{\Delta\pm}_{\sigma b}(X^{\rho};\vec{w})$$

Graviton

$$\partial_{\sigma}\partial_{\nu}h^{\sigma}_{\ \mu;a_{1}a_{2}} + \partial_{\sigma}\partial_{\mu}h^{\sigma}_{\ \nu;a_{1}a_{2}} - \partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}h^{\sigma}_{\ \sigma;a_{1}a_{2}} - \partial^{\rho}\partial_{\rho}h_{\mu\nu;a_{1}a_{2}} = 0 \qquad \begin{aligned} h^{\Delta,\pm}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2};a_{1}a_{2}} = h^{\Delta,\pm}_{\mu_{2}\mu_{1};a_{1}a_{2}}, \\ h^{\Delta,\pm}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2};a_{1}a_{2}} = h^{\Delta,\pm}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2};a_{2}a_{1}}, \quad \delta^{a_{1}a_{2}}h^{\Delta,\pm}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2};a_{1}a_{2}} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$h_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2};a_{1}a_{2}}^{\Delta,\pm}\left(\Lambda_{\nu}^{\rho}X^{\nu};\vec{w}\,'(\vec{w})\right) = \frac{\partial w^{b_{1}}}{\partial w'^{a_{1}}} \frac{\partial w^{b_{2}}}{\partial w'^{a_{2}}} \left|\frac{\partial \vec{w}'}{\partial \vec{w}}\right|^{-(\Delta-2)/d} \Lambda_{\mu_{1}}^{\sigma_{1}}\Lambda_{\mu_{2}}^{\sigma_{2}}h_{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2};b_{1}b_{2}}^{\Delta,\pm}(X^{\rho};\vec{w}) \times \sum_{\Delta \in \frac{d}{2} + i\mathbf{R}} X^{\rho} \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$$

The shadow is linearly independent.

Demanding conformal profile fixes residual gauge transformations but within gauge equivalence class can return to Mellin representative.

Amplitude Transforms

It is useful to point out that the above transforms can be applied directly to the S-matrix elements.

Massive scalar
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta_i, \vec{w}_i'(\vec{w}_i)) = \prod_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial \vec{w}_k'}{\partial \vec{w}_k} \right|^{-\Delta_k/a} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta_i, \vec{w}_i)$$
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta_i, \vec{w}_i) \equiv \prod_{k=1}^n \int_{H_{d+1}} [d\hat{p}_k] \, G_{\Delta_k}(\hat{p}_k; \vec{w}_k) \, \mathcal{A}(\pm m_i \hat{p}_i^{\mu})$$

$$\boldsymbol{m} = \boldsymbol{0} \qquad \qquad \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta_i, \vec{w}_i) \equiv \prod_{k=1}^n \int_0^\infty d\omega_k \omega_k^{\Delta - 1} \,\mathcal{A}(\pm \omega_k q_k^{\mu})$$

Amplitude Transforms

Note that transforming momentum space amplitudes directly, is an alternative to previous approaches [<u>hep-th/0303006,arXiv:1609.00732</u>] towards flat space holography, which have looked at a foliation of Minkowski space to reproduce AdS/CFT, dS/CFT on each slice.



Example: Massive Scalar 3pt

Tor d=2, we use the projective coordinate w, for the celestial sphere CS^2 at the boundary of the lightcone from the origin. w undergoes mobius transformations when the spacetime undergoes Lorentz transformations



X

Example: Massive Scalar 3pt

Lorentz covariance is built into the definition of the basis. If non-zero/finite 4D Lorentz covariance dictates 2D-correlator form.

The behavior of low-point "correlation functions" is strongly dictated by momentum conservation in the bulk. Special scattering configurations can be used to get Witten diagramlike results.

 $2(1+\epsilon)m \to m+m$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(w_i, \bar{w}_i) = \frac{i2^{\frac{9}{2}} \pi^6 \lambda \Gamma(\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - 2}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_3}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{\Delta_1 - \Delta_2 + \Delta_3}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{-\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3}{2}) \sqrt{\epsilon}}{m^4 \Gamma(\Delta_1) \Gamma(\Delta_2) \Gamma(\Delta_3) |w_1 - w_2|^{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_3} |w_2 - w_3|^{\Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - \Delta_1} |w_3 - w_1|^{\Delta_3 + \Delta_1 - \Delta_2}} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$$

arXiv:1701.00049

Example: MHV Mellin

Momentum conservation strongly dictates the form of low point Mellin amplitudes. If we think of correlation functions of Mellin operators, we see the contact nature of the two point function already from the scalar Mellin modes:

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}(\hat{q}) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega \,\,\omega^{i\lambda} a(\omega, \hat{q}) \qquad \langle 0|\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda'}(\hat{q}')\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(\hat{q})|0\rangle = (2\pi)^{4}\delta(\lambda - \lambda')\delta^{(2)}(w_{1} - w_{2})$$

For MHV amplitudes (and any theory with scale invariance) one finds that the Mellin transformed amplitudes have a conservation-of-weight

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\Delta_{1},\dots,\Delta_{n}}(w_{i},\bar{w}_{i}) \equiv \prod_{k=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega_{k} \omega_{k}^{i\lambda_{k}} \,\mathcal{A}(\omega_{k}q_{k}^{\mu}) \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{n} = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega_{k} \omega_{k}^{i\lambda_{k}} \frac{\langle ij \rangle^{4}}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \dots \langle n1 \rangle} \delta^{4}(\sum_{k} p_{k})$$

$$\langle ij \rangle = 2\sqrt{\omega_{i}\omega_{j}}(w_{i} - w_{j}) \qquad \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \propto \int_{0}^{\infty} dss^{i\sum\lambda_{k}-1} = 2\pi\delta(\sum\lambda_{k})$$

Example: MHV Mellin

♦ Once you tell me the directions of scattering, the frequencies in the mellin integral get fixed, ie the momentum conserving delta functions localize the frequency integrals (and then some). For a 2 → 2 process with helicities (- - + +)

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_4 = (-1)^{1+i\lambda_2+i\lambda_3} \frac{\pi}{2} \left[\frac{\eta^5}{1-\eta} \right]^{1/3} \underbrace{\delta(\operatorname{Im}[\eta])}_{i < j} \\ \times \delta(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4) \prod_{i < j}^4 z_{ij}^{h/3 - h_i - h_j} \overline{z}_{ij}^{\bar{h}/3 - \bar{h}_i - \bar{h}_j} \\ \overset{h^- = \frac{i}{2}\lambda}{h^+ = 1 + \frac{i}{2}\lambda} \\ \overset{h^- = 1 + \frac{i}{2}\lambda}{h^+ = \frac{i}{2}\lambda} \end{split}$$

Example: MHV Mellin

♦ On-shell + momentum conserving kinematics restrict $2 \rightarrow 2$ reference directions to lie on a circle within the celestial sphere

MHV 3pt has no support in (1,3) signature but can analytically continue to (2,2) signature with independent real coordinates

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{3}(\lambda_{i};z_{i},\bar{z}_{i}) = \pi(-1)^{i\lambda_{1}} \operatorname{sgn}(z_{23}) \operatorname{sgn}(z_{13}) \,\delta(\sum_{i}\lambda_{i}) \frac{\delta(\bar{z}_{13})\delta(\bar{z}_{12})}{z_{12}^{-1-i\lambda_{3}} z_{23}^{1-i\lambda_{1}} z_{13}^{1-i\lambda_{2}}}$$

What Needs To Be Done

The current map interpreting S-matrix elements as 2D CFT correlators seems to imply either an exotic CFT 2 or that the map needs to be finessed... Options?

> Is there a better shadow-related basis?

$$\mathcal{O}_{i\lambda}^{+}(w,\bar{w}) = \phi_{i\lambda}^{+}(w,\bar{w}) + C_{+,\lambda} \int d^{2}z \frac{1}{(z-w)^{2+i\lambda}(\bar{z}-\bar{w})^{i\lambda}} \phi_{-i\lambda}^{-}(z,\bar{z})$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{i\lambda}^{-}(w,\bar{w}) = \phi_{i\lambda}^{-}(w,\bar{w}) + C_{-,\lambda} \int d^{2}z \frac{1}{(z-w)^{i\lambda}(\bar{z}-\bar{w})^{2+i\lambda}} \phi_{-i\lambda}^{+}(z,\bar{z})$$

> The mode combination that decouples in the soft limit is precisely a linear combination of Mellin and Mellin+shadow in the limit where Im $\Delta = 0$:

$$\mathbf{a}_{-} \equiv a_{-}(\omega \hat{x}) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2 w \frac{1}{\bar{z} - \bar{w}} \partial_{\bar{w}} a_{+}(\omega \hat{y})$$

Understand the conformally soft limit!

What Needs To Be Done

Illustrate some version of an OPE:

Collinear limits in higher point amplitudes avoiding low point kinematic issues (Taylor)?

> Relate worldsheet CFT to celestial sphere CFT

Consider full S-matrix rather than transfer matrix so that have nonzero 4pt functions even for free theory (w/ SH Shao?), then use recent literature on CFT principal series completeness relations to interpret intermediate exchanges.

What Has Been Done

Beautiful expressions for full mellin transform (which inherently probe UV structure) of string amplitudes [<u>arXiv:1806.05688</u>]

Systematic n-pt N^kMHV [<u>arXiv:1711.08435</u>]

3D example of CB decomposition [<u>arXiv:1711.06138</u>]

Interesting statments about symplectic pairing of conformally soft modes
[arXiv:1810.05219]

What Has Been Done

Beautiful expressions for mellin transform (which inherently probe UV structure) of string amplitudes [<u>arXiv:1806.05688</u>]

Systematic n-pt N^kMHV [arXiv:1711.08435]

3D example of CB decomposition [<u>arXiv:1711.06138</u>]

Interesting statments about symplectic pairing of conformally soft modes
[arXiv:1810.05219]

1810.05219

$$\begin{split} A^{\Delta,\pm}_{\mu;a}(X^{\mu};w,\bar{w}) &= \frac{\partial_a q_{\mu}}{(-q\cdot X\mp i\varepsilon)^{\Delta}} + \frac{(\partial_a q\cdot X)\,q_{\mu}}{(-q\cdot X\mp i\varepsilon)^{\Delta+1}} \\ A^{\Delta,\pm}_{\mu;a} &= \frac{\Delta-1}{\Delta(\mp i)^{\Delta}\Gamma(\Delta)}\,V^{\Delta,\pm}_{\mu;a} + \partial_{\mu}\alpha^{\Delta,\pm}_{a} \qquad \alpha^{\Delta,\pm}_{a}(X^{\mu};w,\bar{w}) = \frac{\partial_a q\cdot X}{\Delta(-q\cdot X\mp i\varepsilon)^{\Delta}} \\ V^{\Delta,\pm}_{\mu;a}(X^{\mu};w,\bar{w}) &= \partial_a q_{\mu}\int_0^{\infty} d\omega\,\omega^{\Delta-1}e^{\pm i\omega q\cdot X-\varepsilon\omega} \end{split}$$

♦ The conformally soft limit is where $Δ \rightarrow 1$. There the CB wavefunction is pure gauge. <u>arXiv:1609.00732</u>

1810.05219

Donnay et al. identify a logarithmic mode as its symplectic partner

$$\begin{split} A_{\mu;a}^{\mathrm{G}} &\equiv \lim_{\Delta \to 1} A_{\mu;a}^{\Delta,\pm} = \partial_{\mu} \alpha_{a}^{1} \qquad \alpha_{a}^{1} = -\frac{\partial_{a} q \cdot X}{q \cdot X} \\ A_{\mu;a}^{\log,\pm} &\equiv \lim_{\Delta \to 1} \partial_{\Delta} \left(A_{\mu;a}^{\Delta,\pm} + \tilde{A}_{\mu;a}^{2-\Delta,\pm} \right) \quad A_{\mu;a}^{\log,\pm} = -\log\left[-X^{2} \mp 2i\varepsilon X^{0} - \varepsilon^{2} \right] \partial_{\mu} \left(\frac{\partial_{a} (q \cdot X \pm i\varepsilon q^{0})}{-q \cdot X \mp i\varepsilon q^{0}} \right) \\ F_{\mu\nu;a}^{\mathrm{CS}} &\equiv \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(F_{\mu\nu;a}^{\log,+} - F_{\mu\nu;a}^{\log,-} \right) \quad A_{\mu;a}^{\mathrm{CS}} = (q \cdot X) \log[X^{2}] A_{\mu;a}^{\mathrm{G}} \delta(q \cdot X) + A_{\mu;a}^{\mathrm{G}} \Theta\left(X^{2}\right) \end{split}$$

SGP @ NORTHEASTERN

1810.05219

Donnay et al. identify a logarithmic mode as its symplectic partner

$$\hat{A}_{\mu}(X) = \int \frac{d^2 w \, d\lambda \sqrt{2}}{(2\pi)^4} \left(a_{\lambda w} V_{\mu;\bar{w}}^{*1-i\lambda,+}(X) + a_{\lambda\bar{w}}^{\dagger} V_{\mu;w}^{1+i\lambda,-}(X) + (w \leftrightarrow \bar{w}) \right) + \int \frac{d^2 w}{8\pi^2} \left(S_w A_{\mu;w}^{\mathrm{G}}(X) + J_w A_{\mu;w}^{\mathrm{CS}}(X) + (w \leftrightarrow \bar{w}) \right)$$

$$[a_{\lambda w}(w), a^{\dagger}_{\lambda' w'}(w')] = \frac{1}{2} (2\pi)^4 \delta(\lambda - \lambda') \delta^{(2)}(w - w')$$
$$[J_w(w), S_{w'}(w')] = 8\pi^2 \delta^{(2)}(w - w')$$

Final Thoughts

This conformally soft phase space analysis / augmentation is the analog of what started this story at null infinity.

In addition to learning more about the IR description as a side effect of running into issues with contact-term two point functions (with shadows as a way out)...

 ...the completeness of the conformal basis is needed to justify an effective `fixed- Δ hyperbolic slicing' in current attempts to connect more with the standard AdS3/CFT2 dictionary.

Final Thoughts

$$h_{uu} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{h_{uu}^{(n)}}{r^n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{h}_{uu}^{(n)} \log r}{r^n}, \qquad h_{ur} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{h_{ur}^{(n)}}{r^n} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{h}_{ur}^{(n)} \log r}{r^n}, \qquad h_{uA} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h_{uA}^{(n)}}{r^n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{h}_{uA}^{(n)} \log r}{r^n}, \qquad h_{uA} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h_{uA}^{(n)}}{r^n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{h}_{uA}^{(n)} \log r}{r^n}, \qquad h_{AB} = h_{AB}^{(-1)}r + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{h_{AB}^{(n)}}{r^n} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{h}_{AB}^{(n)} \log r}{r^n}.$$



$$\begin{split} [\Box h_{uu}]^{(n)} &= 2(n-2)\partial_{u}h_{uu}^{(n-1)} + [D^{2} + (n-2)(n-3)]h_{uu}^{(n-2)} \\ &+ (-2n+5)\tilde{h}_{uu}^{(n-2)} - 2\partial_{u}\tilde{h}_{uu}^{(n-1)} \\ \\ [\Box h_{ur}]^{(n)} &= 2(n-2)\partial_{u}h_{ur}^{(n-1)} + [D^{2} + (n-2)(n-3) - 2]h_{ur}^{(n-2)} + 2h_{uu}^{(n-2)} - 2D^{A}h_{uA}^{(n-3)} \\ &+ (-2n+5)\tilde{h}_{ur}^{(n-2)} - 2\partial_{u}\tilde{h}_{ur}^{(n-1)} \\ \\ [\Box h_{rr}]^{(n)} &= 2(n-2)\partial_{u}h_{rr}^{(n-1)} + [D^{2} + (n-2)(n-3)]h_{rr}^{(n-2)} \\ &+ 4\left(h_{ru}^{(n-2)} - h_{rr}^{(n-2)}\right) - 4D^{A}h_{rA}^{(n-3)} + 2\gamma^{AB}h_{AB}^{(n-4)} \\ &+ (-2n+5)\tilde{h}_{rr}^{(n-2)} - 2\partial_{u}\tilde{h}_{rr}^{(n-1)} \\ \\ [\Box h_{uA}]^{(n)} &= 2(n-1)\partial_{u}h_{uA}^{(n-1)} + [D^{2} + (n-2)(n-1) - 1]h_{uA}^{(n-2)} - 2\partial_{A}\left(h_{uu}^{(n-1)} - h_{ur}^{(n-1)}\right) \\ &+ (-2n+3)\tilde{h}_{uA}^{(n-2)} - 2\partial_{u}\tilde{h}_{uA}^{(n-1)} \\ \\ [\Box h_{rA}]^{(n)} &= 2(n-1)\partial_{u}h_{rA}^{(n-1)} + [D^{2} + (n-2)(n-1) - 5]h_{rA}^{(n-2)} - 2\partial_{A}\left(h_{ur}^{(n-1)} - h_{rr}^{(n-1)}\right) \\ &- 2D^{B}h_{AB}^{(n-3)} + 4h_{uA}^{(n-2)} \\ &+ (-2n+3)\tilde{h}_{rA}^{(n-2)} - 2\partial_{u}\tilde{h}_{rA}^{(n-1)} \\ \\ [\Box h_{AB}]^{(n)} &= 2n\partial_{u}h_{AB}^{(n-1)} + [D^{2} + (n-2)(n+1)]h_{AB}^{(n-2)} \\ &- 2\left(D_{A}h_{uB}^{(n-1)} - D_{A}h_{rB}^{(n-1)} + D_{B}h_{uA}^{(n-1)} - D_{B}h_{rA}^{(n-1)}\right) + 2\gamma_{AB}\left(h_{uu}^{(n)} - 2h_{ur}^{(n)} + h_{rr}^{(n)}\right) \\ &+ (-2n+5)\tilde{h}_{AB}^{(n-2)} + 2\partial_{u}\tilde{h}_{AB}^{(n-1)} \end{aligned}$$

SGP @ NORTHEASTERN

Final Thoughts

* The conformal basis solutions are homogeneous under $\tau \rightarrow \lambda \tau$, with dilation weight corresponding to their principal series weight

$$\nabla^{\mu}F_{\mu\nu} = e^2 j_{\nu}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{3}\nabla^{\mu}F_{\mu\tau} &= ((\rho\partial_{\rho})^{2} + 2(\rho\partial_{\rho}) + \frac{4}{\rho^{2}}\partial_{z}\partial_{\bar{z}})(\tau A_{\tau}) - ((\rho\partial_{\rho}) + 2)(\tau\partial_{\tau})(\rho A_{\rho}) - \frac{2}{\rho^{2}}(\tau\partial_{\tau})(\partial_{\bar{z}}A_{z} + \partial_{z}A_{\bar{z}}) \\ \rho\tau^{2}\nabla^{\mu}F_{\mu\rho} &= ((\tau\partial_{\tau}) + 4)(\rho\partial_{\rho})(\tau A_{\tau}) - ((\tau\partial_{\tau})^{2} + 4(\tau\partial_{\tau}) + \frac{4}{\rho^{2}}\partial_{z}\partial_{\bar{z}})(\rho A_{\rho}) - \frac{2}{\rho^{2}}(\rho\partial_{\rho})(\partial_{\bar{z}}A_{z} + \partial_{z}A_{\bar{z}}) \\ \tau^{2}\nabla^{\mu}F_{\muz} &= ((\tau\partial_{\tau}) + 4)\partial_{z}(\tau A_{\tau}) - ((\rho\partial_{\rho}) + 4)\partial_{z}(\rho A_{\rho}) \\ &+ (-(\tau\partial_{\tau})^{2} + (\tau\partial_{\tau}) + (\rho\partial_{\rho})^{2} + 4(\rho\partial_{\rho}) + \frac{2}{\rho^{2}}\partial_{z}\partial_{\bar{z}})A_{z} - \frac{2}{\rho^{2}}\partial_{z}^{2}A_{\bar{z}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x^{0} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\tau}{\rho} + \tau \rho (1 + z\bar{z}) \right) \\ x^{1} &= \frac{1}{2} \tau \rho (z + \bar{z}) \\ x^{2} &= -\frac{i}{2} \tau \rho (z - \bar{z}) \\ x^{3} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\tau}{\rho} - \tau \rho (1 - z\bar{z}) \right) \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{aligned} u &\mapsto \frac{\tau}{\rho} \\ r &\mapsto \tau \rho \\ z &\mapsto z \\ \bar{z} &\mapsto \bar{z} \end{aligned}$$