

# Gaussian Measures and the QM Oscillator

Sabrina Gonzalez Pastorski

(Dated: April 20, 2014)

In this paper, I show how probability densities associated with a Gaussian field can be expressed in terms of the Boltzmann heat kernel. The  $N \leq 2$  calculations are based off of the work of Arthur Jaffe, while the proof of his postulate for general  $N$  is original.

In ‘‘Fields with a Gaussian Measure,’’ I found:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_t(x) &\equiv \int \prod_{i=1}^N \delta(\Phi(t_i) - x_i) d\mu_c \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^n \det C}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^\top C^{-1} x} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $C_{ij} = C(t_i - t_j) = \frac{1}{2m} e^{-m|t_i - t_j|}$ . Now, consider the operator:

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left( -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + m^2 x^2 - m \right) \quad (2)$$

which can be thought of as the Hamiltonian for the simple harmonic oscillator with position coordinate scaled to have unit mass, and frequency  $\omega = m$ . The spectrum is  $m\mathbb{Z}^+$  and the ground state is given by:

$$\Omega_0(x) = \left(\frac{m}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} e^{-\frac{mx^2}{2}} \quad (3)$$

For  $t > 0$ , the Boltzmann integral kernel gives the evolution:

$$(e^{-tH_0} f)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_t(x, x') f(x') dx' \quad (4)$$

For  $N = 1$ ,  $\rho_t(x) = \Omega_0(x)^2$ . When  $N > 1$ , it is convenient to define  $\mathbb{C} = 2mC$  so that  $\mathbb{C}_{ij} = e^{-m|t_i - t_j|}$ . Then:

$$\rho_t(x) = \left(\frac{m}{\pi}\right)^{N/2} \frac{e^{-m x^\top \mathbb{C}^{-1} x}}{\sqrt{\det \mathbb{C}}} \quad (5)$$

Here, I will consider  $t_1 < \dots < t_N$ . For  $N = 2$  explicitly inverting

$$\mathbb{C}_2 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-m(t_2 - t_1)} \\ e^{-m(t_2 - t_1)} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

gives an expression for  $\rho$  in terms of  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$$\rho_{t_1, t_2}(x_1, x_2) = \Omega_0(x_1) \mathcal{B}_{t_2 - t_1}(x_1, x_2) \Omega_0(x_2) \quad (7)$$

I can now find an expression for general  $N$  using induction. Writing  $\mathbb{C}_N$  in blocks:

$$\mathbb{C}_N \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C}_{N-1} & v \\ v^\top & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

where  $v^\top = (e^{-m(t_N - t_1)} \dots e^{-m(t_N - t_{N-1})})$ , leads to an expression for the inverse:

$$\mathbb{C}_N^{-1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C}_{N-1}^{-1} + \frac{(\mathbb{C}_{N-1}^{-1} v)(\mathbb{C}_{N-1}^{-1} v)^\top}{\mu} & -\frac{(\mathbb{C}_{N-1}^{-1} v)}{\mu} \\ -\frac{(\mathbb{C}_{N-1}^{-1} v)^\top}{\mu} & \frac{1}{\mu} \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

where  $\mu = 1 - v^\top (\mathbb{C}_{N-1}^{-1} v)$ . Rather than inverting  $\mathbb{C}_{N-1}$ , my expression for  $\rho_N$  in terms of  $\rho_{N-1}$  will only need the product  $(\mathbb{C}_{N-1}^{-1} v)$ . Because the inverse exists, it is equivalent to finding  $\xi$  such that  $v = \mathbb{C}_{N-1} \xi$ . Since the last column of  $\mathbb{C}_{N-1}$  is  $(e^{-m(t_{N-1} - t_1)} \dots 1)$ , I find that:

$$(\mathbb{C}_{N-1}^{-1} v)_j = e^{-m(t_N - t_{N-1})} \delta_{j, N-1} \quad (10)$$

which gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= 1 - e^{-2m(t_N - t_{N-1})} \\ x_N^\top \mathbb{C}_N^{-1} x_N &= x_{N-1}^\top \mathbb{C}_{N-1}^{-1} x_{N-1} + \frac{1}{\mu} [x_N^2 + e^{-2m(t_N - t_{N-1})} x_{N-1}^2 \\ &\quad - 2x_N x_{N-1} e^{-m(t_N - t_{N-1})}] \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

In terms of  $\rho_{N-1}$ , one thus finds:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_N &= \left(\frac{m}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{m}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \frac{e^{-m x_{N-1}^\top \mathbb{C}_{N-1}^{-1} x_{N-1}} e^{-m \Delta(x^\top \mathbb{C}^{-1} x)}}{\sqrt{\det \mathbb{C}_{N-1}} \sqrt{\mu}} \\ &= \rho_{N-1} \rho_{t_N, t_{N-1}}(x_N, x_{N-1}) \Omega_0(x_{N-1})^{-2} \\ &= \rho_{N-1} \Omega_0(x_{N-1})^{-1} \mathcal{B}_{t_N - t_{N-1}}(x_{N-1}, x_N) \Omega_0(x_N) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The expressions for  $N = 1$  and  $N = 2$  are both consistent with the following expression for general  $N$ :

$$\rho_N = \Omega_0(x_1) \mathcal{B}_{t_2 - t_1}(x_1, x_2) \mathcal{B}_{t_3 - t_2}(x_2, x_3) \dots \mathcal{B}_{t_N - t_{N-1}}(x_{N-1}, x_N) \Omega_0(x_N) \quad (13)$$

where  $t_1 < \dots < t_N$ .